

# Pulmonary and Critical Care Considerations for E-Cigarette, or Vaping, Product Use-Associated Lung Injury

Don Hayes Jr, MD, FCCP; Amy Board, DrPH; Carolyn Calfee, MD; Sascha Ellington, PhD, MSPH; Lori A. Pollack, MD, MPH; Hasmeena Kathuria, MD; Michelle N. Eakin, MD; David N. Weissman, MD; Sean J. Callahan, MD; Annette M. Esper, MD; Laura E. Crotty Alexander, MD; Nirmal S. Sharma, MD; Nuala J. Meyer, MD; Lincoln S. Smith, MD; Shannon Novosad, MD; Mary E. Evans, MD, MPH; Alyson B. Goodman, MD, MPH; Eleanor S. Click, MD, PhD; Richard T. Robinson, PhD; Gary Ewart, MHS; and Evelyn Twentyman, MD, MPH

**BACKGROUND:** In 2019, the United States experienced a nationwide outbreak of e-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury (EVALI). More than one-half of these patients required admission to an ICU.

**RESEARCH QUESTION:** ■■■■

**STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS:** To synthesize information critical to pulmonary/critical care specialists in the care of patients with EVALI, this study examined data available from patients hospitalized with EVALI between August 2019 and January 2020; reviewed the clinical course and critical care experience with those patients admitted to the ICU; and compiled opinion of national experts.

**RESULTS:** Of the 2,708 patients with confirmed or probable EVALI requiring hospitalization as of January 21, 2020, a total of 1,604 (59.2%) had data available on ICU admission; of these, 705 (44.0%) were admitted to the ICU and are included in this analysis. The majority of ICU patients required respiratory support (88.5%) and in severe cases required intubation (36.1%) or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (6.7%). The majority (93.0%) of these ICU patients survived to discharge. Review of the clinical course and expert opinion provided insight into: imaging; considerations for bronchoscopy; medical treatment, including use of empiric antibiotics, antiviral agents, and corticosteroids; respiratory support, including considerations for intubation, positioning maneuvers, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; and patient outcomes.

**INTERPRETATION:** Review of the clinical course of patients with EVALI requiring ICU admission and compilation of expert opinion provided critical insight into pulmonary/critical care-specific considerations for this patient population. Because a large proportion of patients hospitalized with EVALI required ICU admission, it is important to remain prepared to care for patients with EVALI.

CHEST 2022; ■(■):■-■

**KEY WORDS:** critical illness; e-cigarette; ICU; lung injury; vaping

**ABBREVIATIONS:** CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CXR = chest radiograph; ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; EVALI = E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury; ORO = Oil-Red-O; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PEEP = positive end-expiratory pressure; THC = tetrahydrocannabinol

**AFFILIATIONS:** From the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center and University of Cincinnati College of Medicine (D. Hayes), Cincinnati, OH; Epidemic Intelligence Service, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (A. Board), Atlanta, GA; University of California at San Francisco School of Medicine (C. Calfee), San

In August 2019, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) along with the US Food and Drug Administration, state and local health departments, and public health and clinical stakeholders initiated an investigation into a nationwide outbreak of e-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury (EVALI).<sup>1-6</sup> EVALI was subsequently found to be strongly linked with vitamin E acetate, an oily substance with an appearance similar to cannabis oil sometimes used as a diluent or “cutting agent” in tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)-containing e-cigarette, or vaping, products.<sup>7</sup> However, in some of the reported EVALI cases, the evidence is not sufficient to rule out the contribution of other chemicals of concern, including chemicals in either THC or non-THC products.<sup>5</sup> Declines in the number of EVALI cases reported to the CDC were observed every week

following a peak in mid-September 2019, which was likely due to multiple factors, including: rapid public health action to increase public awareness of the risk associated with THC-containing e-cigarette, or vaping, products; actions by consumers to reduce this risk; and actions by manufacturers to remove vitamin E acetate from these products.<sup>5,8-10</sup>

Substantial guidance has been published to aid the general medical community and first-line health-care providers in the care and treatment of patients with EVALI.<sup>11-13</sup> However, an opportunity remains to provide a synthesis of information for the diagnosis and management of patients with EVALI-related critical illness. The current report provides information for the diagnosis and management of critically ill patients with EVALI.

## Patients and Methods

### Definitions

In accordance with the CDC EVALI case definitions,<sup>14</sup> confirmed EVALI cases met the following criteria: (1) reported using an e-cigarette, or vaping, product (eg, e-cigarette, vape pen) to inhale substances such as nicotine, marijuana, THC, or cannabidiol within 90 days prior to symptom onset; (2) pulmonary infiltrate, such as opacities, on chest radiograph (CXR) or ground-glass opacities on chest CT imaging; (3) absence of pulmonary infection on initial examination, including, at a minimum, a negative respiratory viral panel and a negative influenza polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or rapid test result; (4) negative results on all other clinically indicated respiratory infectious disease testing; and (5) no evidence in medical history of an alternative plausible diagnosis. Probable EVALI cases were not required to meet criteria 3 and 4; instead, if pulmonary or respiratory infection was identified or the minimum criteria to rule out infection was not met (eg, testing not performed) but the clinical

team believed that infection was not the sole cause of the underlying lung injury, the case would be classified as probable.

### Data Analysis

Data on patients hospitalized with confirmed and probable EVALI were reported to the CDC voluntarily by all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the US Virgin Islands from August 2019 through January 2020 by using established data collection tools as described in previously published articles.<sup>5,6</sup> All data in the current analyses were collected from patients treated prior to the onset of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Presenting symptoms, clinical course, product use history, and medical history were obtained from patient medical record abstraction and interviews of patients or proxies (eg, spouses or parents) if a patient was too ill or had died.

Descriptive analyses on patient characteristics (age, sex, and race/ethnicity) and clinical course (presentation, history, imaging, infectious disease testing, type of first care visit, medical treatment, respiratory support, and patient outcome) by percentages and distributions of categorical and continuous indicators were conducted by using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc.).

### Compilation of Expert Opinion

To compile clinical perspective from those caring for patients with EVALI, the CDC collaborated with national adult and pediatric pulmonary and critical care medicine experts designated by professional medical societies to participate in the Lung Injury Response Clinical Working Group.<sup>11</sup> This group met from October to December 2019, weekly to biweekly, and developed multiple guidance documents to address the EVALI outbreak.<sup>11-13</sup> An additional collaboration was formed in November 2019 with the CDC and pulmonary and critical care experts to identify, document, and synthesize potential best practices in the diagnosis and management of EVALI-related critical illness.

## Results

Of 2,708 patients with confirmed or probable EVALI requiring hospitalization from August 2019 to January 2020, a total of 1,604 (59.2%) had data available

Francisco, CA; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (S. Ellington, L. A. Pollack, S. Novosad, M. E. Evans, A. B. Goodman, E. S. Click, and E. Twentymen), Atlanta, GA; Boston University Medical Center (H. Kathuria), Boston, MA; Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine (M. N. Eakin), Baltimore, MD; Respiratory Health Division, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (D. N. Weissman), Morgantown, WV; University of Utah School of Medicine (S. J. Callahan), Salt Lake City, UT; Emory University School of Medicine (A. M. Esper), Atlanta, GA; University of California at San Diego School of Medicine (L. E. Crotty Alexander), San Diego, CA; Brigham and Women's Hospital (N. S. Sharma), Boston, MA; University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine (N. J. Meyer), Philadelphia, PA; Seattle Children's Hospital and University of Washington School of Medicine (L. S. Smith), Seattle, WA; The Ohio State University College of Medicine (R. T. Robinson), Columbus, OH; and the American Thoracic Society (G. Ewart), New York, NY.

**CORRESPONDENCE TO:** Don Hayes Jr, MD, FCCP; email: [Don.Hayes@cchmc.org](mailto:Don.Hayes@cchmc.org)

Copyright © 2022 American College of Chest Physicians. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2022.02.039>

221**Q17** **TABLE 1** ] Demographic Characteristics of Patients  
 222 With EVALI Admitted to the ICU, August  
 223 2019 to January 2020

Characteristic	ICU Patients (N = 705)
Age group (n = 701), y	
≤ 17	124 (17.7%)
18-24	219 (31.2%)
25-34	172 (24.5%)
35-44	87 (12.4%)
45-64	77 (11.0%)
≥ 65	22 (3.1%)
Sex (n = 700)	
Female	273 (39.0%)
Male	427 (61.0%)
Race/ethnicity (n = 550)	
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or other Pacific Islander	13 (2.4%)
Black, non-Hispanic	28 (5.1%)
Hispanic	76 (13.8%)
Other <sup>a</sup>	17 (3.1%)
White, non-Hispanic	416 (75.6%)

245 EVALI = E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury.

246 <sup>a</sup>Cell details are not displayed because of small numbers (n = 1-4), which  
 247 do not meet standards for maintaining confidentiality.

248  
 249  
 250 regarding ICU admission; of these, 705 (44.0% [705 of  
 251 1,604]) were admitted to the ICU and are included in  
 252 this analysis. Most ICU patients were aged 18 to 34 years  
 253 (55.7%), male (61.0%), and non-Hispanic White (75.6%)  
 254 (Table 1). Table 2 outlines the presenting symptoms and  
 255 clinical course for patients with EVALI admitted to the  
 256 ICU. The majority of those admitted to the ICU  
 257 presented with GI (76.1%), respiratory (96.8%), and/or  
 258 constitutional (92.0%) symptoms. For patients with  
 259 medical history data available (either reported in the  
 260 medical record or via patient or proxy self-report), prior  
 261 anxiety and/or depression was reported for  
 262 approximately one-third of patients admitted to the ICU  
 263 (35.5% and 30.4%, respectively, with 22.8% of patients  
 264 missing anxiety medical history and 22.6% of patients  
 265 missing depression medical history), and prior  
 266 respiratory diseases (28.5%, with 18.7% of patients  
 267 missing respiratory medical history). Almost all patients  
 268 had imaging demonstrative of bilateral rather than  
 269 unilateral findings, with 97.7% of chest CT scans and  
 270 90% of CXRs revealing bilateral abnormalities.  
 271 Subpleural sparing was noted in 34.3% of patients with  
 272 data available. Almost one-half (45.0%) of patients  
 273 underwent bronchoscopy. Most patients had negative

274 infectious disease test results. Advanced respiratory  
 275 support was provided to more than one-third of patients  
 276 admitted to the ICU, with 36.1% intubated and  
 277 6.7% receiving extracorporeal membrane oxygenation  
 278 (ECMO). For the minority of patients admitted to the  
 279 ICU with data available on length of ICU stay (n = 130),  
 280 the median length of stay was 6 days (range, 0-74 days)  
 281 (data not shown). Of the 705 patients diagnosed with  
 282 EVALI who were admitted to the ICU, 656 (93.0%) had  
 283 survival data available. Of these 656 patients, 610  
 284 (93.0%) survived, and 46 (7.0%) died.  
 285  
 286  
 287  
 288

### 289 *Diagnosis and Management*

290 **Patient History:** Patients with EVALI may present with  
 291 respiratory symptoms (cough, shortness of breath, and  
 292 chest pain), GI symptoms (nausea, vomiting, abdominal  
 293 pain, and diarrhea), or constitutional symptoms (fever,  
 294 chills, and weight loss).<sup>11,12</sup> Patients often report having  
 295 more than one symptom.<sup>11</sup> In this analysis, each of these  
 296 symptoms was reported by the majority of ICU patients  
 297 (76.1% with GI symptoms, 96.8% with respiratory  
 298 symptoms, and 92.0% with constitutional symptoms).  
 299 EVALI symptoms may be similar to those associated  
 300 with respiratory infections, including COVID-19<sup>15</sup> and  
 301 influenza.<sup>12</sup> EVALI should be suspected in patients with  
 302 a history of using e-cigarette, or vaping, products within  
 303 the last 3 months, a pneumonia-like illness, progressive  
 304 dyspnea, and/or worsening hypoxemia.<sup>11</sup>  
 305

306  
 307 In obtaining a history of e-cigarette, or vaping, product  
 308 use, confidentiality is key. Maintenance of  
 309 confidentiality can be challenging in the critical care  
 310 setting.<sup>16,17</sup> Specific details regarding e-cigarette, or  
 311 vaping, product use include the following: start of  
 312 product use, last use of product, method of use (eg,  
 313 aerosol, dabbing, dripping), duration of use, daily  
 314 frequency of puffs, and concomitant combustible  
 315 tobacco use.<sup>18</sup> In addition, it is important to obtain  
 316 information regarding the device, such as the product  
 317 brand, the delivery system, types of substances used (eg,  
 318 THC, cannabidiol, cannabis, nicotine, modified  
 319 products, addition of substances not produced by the  
 320 manufacturer), and product source. Most patients with  
 321 EVALI reported a history of using THC-containing  
 322 products; however, some patients reported exclusive use  
 323 of nicotine-containing products.<sup>1,12</sup> Products obtained  
 324 off the street or from other informal sources are linked  
 325 to most EVALI cases.<sup>3</sup> In addition to details about  
 326 e-cigarette, or vaping, product use, patient history  
 327 should include recent travel, other environmental  
 328 exposures, medications, presence of underlying disease,  
 329  
 330

**TABLE 2 ] Clinical Presentation and Clinical Course of Patients With EVALI Admitted to the ICU, August 2019 to January 2020**

Variable	ICU Patients (N = 705)
<b>Presenting symptoms</b>	
GI symptoms (n = 640)	487 (76.1%)
Respiratory symptoms (n = 655)	634 (96.8%)
Constitutional symptoms (n = 641)	590 (92.0%)
<b>Medical history</b>	
Respiratory diseases (n = 573)	163 (28.5%)
Heart diseases (n = 550)	72 (13.1%)
Anxiety (n = 544)	193 (35.5%)
Depression (n = 546)	166 (30.4%)
Other chronic diseases (n = 493 <sup>3</sup> )	286 (58.0%)
<b>Imaging</b>	
CT scan performed (n = 560)	514 (91.8%)
Opacities present (n = 323)	321 (99.4%)
Location of abnormal finding (n = 307)	
Bilateral	300 (97.7%)
Unilateral	7 (2.3%)
Subpleural sparing (n = 67%)	23 (34.3%)
Chest radiograph performed (n = 567)	555 (97.9%)
Opacities present (n = 325)	311 (95.7%)
Location of abnormal finding (n = 322)	
Bilateral	291 (90.4%)
Unilateral	20 (6.2%)
Bronchoscopy	317 (45.0%)
<b>Infectious disease testing</b>	
Respiratory viral panel positive (n = 373)	47 (12.6%)
Influenza positive (n = 396)	5 (1.3%)
Blood culture positive (n = 347)	11 (3.2%)
Legionella positive (n = 291)	2 (0.7%)
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> positive (n = 216)	0 (0.0%)
<i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> positive (n = 219)	13 (5.9%)

(Continued)

**TABLE 2 ] (Continued)**

Variable	ICU Patients (N = 705)
<b>Medical treatment</b>	
Corticosteroids (n = 582)	533 (91.6%)
Antibiotics (n = 512)	508 (99.2%)
Antivirals (n = 164)	10 (6.1%)
Advanced respiratory support given (n = 538)	
ECMO (n = 417)	28 (6.7%)
Intubation (n = 538)	194 (36.1%)
Bilevel pressure ventilation/CPAP/high-flow oxygen (n = 403)	167 (41.4%)
<b>Patient outcome</b>	
Survival to discharge (n = 656)	610 (93.0%)

ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; EVALI = E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury.

and all forms of substance use. Resources are available for clinicians and the public to define the terms used to describe e-cigarette use, or vaping, as well as associated products.<sup>18,19</sup>

**Physical Examination:** In assessing a patient with suspected EVALI, the physical examination should include an evaluation of vital signs, pulse oximetry, and respiratory system assessment. Tachycardia, tachypnea, and hypoxemia have been reported in cases of EVALI.<sup>13</sup> According to data reported to the CDC, 56% of patients had an oxygen saturation < 95%, and 55% patients had tachycardia.<sup>11</sup> Pulmonary findings on auscultation may be unremarkable.

**Bronchoscopy:** Although bronchoscopy is not routinely recommended in the evaluation of EVALI, indications for bronchoscopy can be reviewed in consultation with a pulmonologist and the decision to pursue bronchoscopy made on a case-by-case basis.<sup>11</sup> Among ICU patients included in this analysis, 45.0% underwent bronchoscopy (Table 2). The median number of days from hospitalization or ICU admission to bronchoscopy was 3 days (range, 0-88 days) (data not shown). Early in the outbreak, numerous hospitals performed bronchoscopy regularly when confronted with suspected EVALI, and CDC interim guidance recommended considering it in the diagnostic workup. Because EVALI is a diagnosis of exclusion, bronchoscopy has been used by clinicians to aid in EVALI diagnosis and to rule-out

441 alternative diagnoses. For example, other acute  
 442 syndromes in which a patient may present with diffuse  
 443 parenchymal involvement, hypoxemia, and  
 444 constitutional symptoms include hypersensitivity  
 445 pneumonitis, eosinophilic pneumonia, diffuse alveolar  
 446 hemorrhage, and ARDS from another source (eg,  
 447 pancreatitis).<sup>20,21</sup> EVALI is a syndrome of distinct  
 448 clinical manifestations; whereas the most typical  
 449 pulmonary manifestations include organizing  
 450 pneumonia and the broader spectrum of acute lung  
 451 injury, EVALI may also present with phenotypes  
 452 resembling hypersensitivity pneumonitis, eosinophilic  
 453 pneumonia, and others.<sup>22</sup> When the pretest probability  
 454 of one of these alternative diagnoses is high (eg, in a  
 455 patient with hemoptysis and suspected diffuse alveolar  
 456 hemorrhage or a patient with immunosuppression and a  
 457 suspected opportunistic infection), diagnostic  
 458 bronchoscopy may aid evaluation.  
 459  
 460

461 Contraindications to bronchoscopy in patients with  
 462 suspected EVALI include situations in which patients  
 463 are too hypoxemic to undergo bronchoscopy or tolerate  
 464 sedation and history of recent myocardial infarction.<sup>23</sup>  
 465 In addition, some experts believe bronchoscopy induces  
 466 airway hyperreactivity that is an unacceptably high-risk  
 467 consequence of the procedure.<sup>24</sup> The following sections  
 468 provide considerations for lung tissue examination,  
 469 cellular analysis, and identification of lipid-laden  
 470 macrophages in the context of bronchoscopy as an aid to  
 471 diagnosis.  
 472

473 **Lung Tissue:** Two series of bronchoscopic and surgical  
 474 lung biopsy specimens have been published, both  
 475 showing a constellation of airway-centric damage and  
 476 acute lung injury. These include high rates of fibrinous  
 477 pneumonitis, organizing pneumonia, bronchiolitis  
 478 obliterans, and diffuse alveolar damage, all of which are  
 479 nonspecific findings seen in a variety of conditions.<sup>25,26</sup>  
 480 These findings are expected given the pathophysiological  
 481 underpinnings of EVALI and do not aid physicians  
 482 trying to solidify a diagnosis of EVALI.<sup>27</sup> Thus, routine  
 483 biopsies are not recommended in patients with  
 484 suspected EVALI because the findings do not  
 485 differentiate it from other illnesses.  
 486  
 487

488 **Cellular Analysis:** Cellular analysis of BAL specimens  
 489 has had limited diagnostic utility in the context of  
 490 EVALI. There is no “typical” cellular differential on  
 491 cytology; BAL samples have variously yielded  
 492 neutrophil-, lymphocyte-, eosinophil-, or macrophage-  
 493 predominant cell differentials.<sup>26,28-31</sup> The differential  
 494 among published case series shows a neutrophil  
 495

496 predominance, consistent with an acute inflammatory  
 497 pattern. This pattern is nonspecific to EVALI as it can  
 498 also be seen in ARDS, multifocal infectious pneumonia,  
 499 and other diagnoses.<sup>32</sup> A lymphocyte- or eosinophil-  
 500 predominant differential is also nonspecific and not  
 501 helpful in ruling out EVALI, as cases of hypersensitivity  
 502 pneumonitis or eosinophilic pneumonia phenotypes  
 503 have been identified.<sup>20</sup>  
 504

505 **Lipid-Laden Macrophages:** Oil-Red-O (ORO) staining  
 506 is a method in which macrophages are stained to  
 507 evaluate for lipid deposition, a finding commonly seen  
 508 in lipid pneumonia. Historically, its clinical use has  
 509 been limited secondary to poor specificity, as “lipid-  
 510 laden macrophages” may be witnessed in a host of  
 511 conditions, including amiodarone toxicity, ARDS, and  
 512 others.<sup>33</sup> However, early in the EVALI outbreak, a  
 513 number of reports described lipid-laden macrophages in  
 514 EVALI cases, leading to initial consideration of EVALI  
 515 as an exogenous lipid pneumonia.<sup>31,34,35</sup> Lipid-laden  
 516 macrophages do appear with high frequency,<sup>25,26,28-30</sup>  
 517 suggesting a high sensitivity despite very poor specificity;  
 518 physicians encountering a positive ORO stain must  
 519 decipher whether the findings represent EVALI  
 520 vs alternative causes that yield lipid-laden macrophages.  
 521 Data suggest, for example, that this finding may  
 522 represent an endogenous response to e-cigarette, or  
 523 vaping, product constituents.<sup>9,26,27</sup> If bronchoscopy with  
 524 BAL is pursued for separate reasons, ORO staining of  
 525 BAL cells could be ordered for patients with suspected  
 526 EVALI.  
 527  
 528

529 **Pulmonary Imaging:** A CXR should be obtained for all  
 530 patients with a history of e-cigarette, or vaping, product  
 531 use, who have respiratory or GI symptoms, particularly  
 532 when chest pain, dyspnea, or decreased oxygen  
 533 saturation are present.<sup>11</sup> Bilateral opacities are the most  
 534 common CXR findings in this analysis. In a published  
 535 description of 53 patients from Illinois and Wisconsin,  
 536 91% of patients had an abnormal CXR<sup>30</sup>; in this analysis,  
 537 close to 96% of ICU patients had an abnormal CXR.  
 538 However, a normal CXR does not conclusively rule out  
 539 EVALI.  
 540  
 541

542 CT imaging of the chest might be obtained when the  
 543 CXR is normal.<sup>11,12</sup> In the case series from Illinois and  
 544 Wisconsin, chest CT imaging was abnormal 100% of the  
 545 time.<sup>27</sup> In this national analysis, 99.4% of chest CT scans  
 546 revealed opacities, and among cases with data available  
 547 for location of abnormal findings, 97.7% of findings  
 548 were bilateral. Among the relatively few patients with  
 549 data available regarding the presence of subpleural  
 550

sparing (n = 67), subpleural sparing was reported in 34.3%. In cases in which abnormalities on CXR are sufficient for diagnosis, a chest CT scan should be considered on a case-by-case basis.<sup>11</sup> Chest CT imaging may be used to evaluate for alternate or coexisting etiologies, such as infection or pulmonary embolism, worsening disease, or for complications such as pneumothorax. A contrast or noncontrast chest CT scan may be indicated depending on what alternative etiologies or potential findings are being considered.

Pneumomediastinum, pleural effusions, and pneumothorax have been seen in a minority of patients; a published description of 34 cases reported a variety of imaging patterns that correlated with pathologic investigations, including acute eosinophilic pneumonia, diffuse alveolar damage, organizing pneumonia, and lipoid pneumonia, but noted that most of the patterns identified had basilar-predominant consolidation and ground-glass opacity, often with areas of lobular or subpleural sparing.<sup>20</sup> In one case series from Utah of 60 patients with EVALI, pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum was identified in 18%.<sup>28</sup>

**Other Diagnostic Testing:** When evaluating a patient with suspected EVALI, the principal alternative diagnosis to consider is an infectious agent presenting with diffuse lung involvement. Fever is a common presenting symptom in patients with suspected EVALI.<sup>4,36,37</sup> Most infectious etiologies can be diagnosed by means other than bronchoscopy as the sensitivity of nasopharyngeal PCR viral testing for many viruses approaches 100%.<sup>38,39</sup> Atypical pneumonias such as mycoplasma or chlamydia may present in a similar manner (eg, diffuse infiltrates, hypoxemia) and may be detected via PCR-based assays of nasal swabs or sputum.<sup>39</sup> Other infectious agents to consider are fungal organisms such as *Pneumocystis jirovecii* and endemic mycoses.<sup>40,41</sup> These latter organisms should be considered in the appropriate context, including immunosuppression in the former, and appropriate geographic location or travel history in the latter.

It may be difficult to differentiate EVALI from COVID-19,<sup>15</sup> influenza, or other infections, and EVALI may occur in the presence of infection. In this analysis, 12.6% of patients had a positive respiratory viral panel, 5.9% were *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* positive, 1.3% were influenza positive, 3.2% had positive blood culture findings, and 0.7% were positive for *Legionella pneumophila*. In addition to these infectious etiologies, case series of patients with EVALI have identified

evidence of concomitant infections with *Candida albicans*, rhinovirus, and nontuberculous mycobacteria.<sup>37,42-44</sup> Additional testing for infections should be based on individual patient factors, clinical evaluation, and geographic risk factors. In addition, HIV testing can be considered, particularly when the differential includes opportunistic infections.

Multiple other laboratory test results have been reported as abnormal in patients with EVALI. However, these tests are not diagnostic and generally nonspecific. In a report of 53 early cases from Illinois and Wisconsin, 87% had elevated WBC (median WBC, 15,900/mm<sup>3</sup>), 93% had an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and 50% of patients had elevated liver transaminase levels.<sup>30</sup> These laboratory abnormalities are similar to those seen in other published case series.<sup>28,36</sup> Furthermore, neutrophil predominance is common, while eosinophilia is rarely seen.<sup>36</sup> Although elevated procalcitonin levels have been speculated to help rule out EVALI, elevation may be highly variable. In a case series by Abernethy et al,<sup>45</sup> for example, the median procalcitonin level was 0.3 ng/mL with an interquartile range of 0.1 to 0.7 ng/mL. The complete clinical presentation of patients, rather than any single laboratory test, is of greatest diagnostic utility.

**Level of Care:** Although the CDC has previously reported that 96% of patients with EVALI were hospitalized,<sup>11</sup> there may be underreporting of less fulminant cases, and thus both ambulatory and inpatient providers are encouraged to consider the diagnosis. Outpatient management can be considered for patients with normal oxyhemoglobin saturation (> 95% on room air), without significant comorbidity, and with strong social support and reliable access to health care. These last two points are critical as very close follow-up, within 24 to 48 h, is recommended based on observations that many patients deteriorated substantially over a short time course and subsequently required hospitalization and even intensive care.<sup>13</sup> Hospitalization is advised for any patient with suspected EVALI who has a new supplemental oxygen requirement, labored breathing, or significant comorbidity, or if the patient lacks the means for timely follow-up. Once hospitalized, decisions about caring for the patient on a general ward compared with an ICU may be determined according to local resources and staffing. Given the high rate of respiratory failure with presentations indistinguishable from ARDS,<sup>30</sup> ICU admission may be advisable for patients with severe tachypnea, oxygen requirements > 4 L by nasal cannula, any assisted ventilatory requirement (high-flow nasal

661 cannula, noninvasive ventilation, or invasive  
662 ventilation), or the development of nonpulmonary  
663 organ failure, including encephalopathy, shock, severe  
664 liver injury, or renal failure.

### 665 *Pharmacotherapy*

666 **Antimicrobials:** In this study, almost all (99.2%) ICU  
667 patients received antibiotics, and 6.1% received antiviral  
668 agents. Because the disease course can mimic bacterial or  
669 viral pneumonia in previously healthy patients, early  
670 initiation of coverage for community-acquired  
671 pneumonia should be considered, and antiviral therapy  
672 such as for viral pneumonia if caused by influenza  
673 should be considered in the appropriate season.<sup>11</sup> If the  
674 patient has risk factors for hospital-associated  
675 pneumonia and appears critically ill, empiric  
676 antimicrobial therapy should be adjusted to cover  
677 common nosocomial pathogens in accordance with  
678 society guidelines.<sup>46</sup>

682 **Corticosteroids:** In the current study, almost all (91.6%)  
683 ICU patients received corticosteroids. An earlier analysis  
684 of observational data found that 82% of patients with  
685 suspected EVALI who were treated with corticosteroids  
686 improved,<sup>11</sup> although corticosteroid treatment in EVALI  
687 has not been prospectively evaluated.<sup>47</sup> Two  
688 histopathologic series of patients with EVALI  
689 undergoing biopsy noted that a majority met pathologic  
690 criteria for diffuse alveolar damage with a  
691 bronchiolocentric distribution,<sup>25,26</sup> consistent with  
692 ARDS. Corticosteroids have produced inconsistent  
693 findings for unspecified ARDS cases,<sup>48-50</sup> whereas  
694 corticosteroids have been found to be beneficial in  
695 ARDS due to COVID-19 specifically.<sup>51,52</sup> Although  
696 clinical trials have not been conducted to compare  
697 different corticosteroid dosing regimens, commonly  
698 reported doses for hospitalized patients requiring  
699 supplemental oxygen are between 40 and 60 mg of  
700 prednisone daily for durations ranging from a few days  
701 to 2 weeks.<sup>45</sup> If corticosteroids are being used, clinicians  
702 are encouraged to carefully consider all infections prior  
703 to starting therapy.

### 704 *Respiratory Support*

705 In this study, more than one-third of ICU patients  
706 (36.1%) required intubation. Initial ventilator  
707 management for patients with EVALI should adhere to  
708 the principles of ARDS ventilation: adequate  
709 oxygenation (oxyhemoglobin saturation > 90%) with  
710 the least necessary  $F_{IO_2}$ ; limit tidal volume and plateau  
711 pressures in an effort to avoid ventilator-induced lung  
712 injury; and seek to achieve ventilator synchrony to  
713 decrease oxygen consumption.<sup>53</sup> Less certainty exists  
714 regarding recommendations for titrating positive end-  
715 expiratory pressure (PEEP) for patients with suspected  
716 EVALI. Considerations for PEEP titration include: the  
717 degree and diffuseness of the consolidated lung, with  
718 more diffuse consolidation potentially favoring a higher  
719 PEEP strategy<sup>54</sup>; how lung compliance changes with the  
720 addition of PEEP<sup>55</sup>; and whether the patient has  
721 evidence of barotrauma at the outset of ventilation.  
722 General recommendations are to minimize mean airway  
723 pressure in the presence of pneumothorax and persistent  
724 air leak. Whether mean airway pressure must be limited  
725 in cases of isolated pneumomediastinum or pulmonary  
726 interstitial emphysema is unclear, but limiting PEEP to  
727 provide expansion could be considered.

728 For patients with a  $PaO_2:F_{IO_2}$  ratio < 150 despite  
729 adequate sedation and ventilation in accordance with  
730 best ARDS practices,<sup>54</sup> prone positioning should be  
731 considered, which has been shown to reduce mortality.<sup>56</sup>  
732 For patients who remain difficult to oxygenate, or who  
733 have difficulty achieving ventilator synchrony,  
734 neuromuscular blockade can be added. However, as  
735 shown in the Reevaluation of Systemic Early  
736 Neuromuscular Blockade (ROSE)-Prevention and Early  
737 Treatment of Acute Lung Injury (PETAL) trial, early  
738 institution of neuromuscular blockade did not reduce  
739 mortality compared with a lighter sedation strategy  
740 without obligatory neuromuscular blockade.<sup>57</sup>

741 When confronted with severe ARDS not responding  
742 favorably to traditional ARDS ventilation strategies or  
743 when significant barotrauma precludes the ability to  
744 deliver adequate PEEP, early consideration for  
745 venovenous ECMO should be considered. In the current  
746 analysis, 6.7% of ICU patients underwent ECMO. Early  
747 decisions of ECMO candidacy and prompt initiation  
748 allow for operative planning and the safest possible  
749 transition.<sup>58</sup> In the event that the patient's lungs are  
750 unrecoverable from damage by EVALI or manifest a  
751 rapidly fibrotic ARDS subtype, lung transplantation is a  
752 consideration.

753 In this study, 41.4% of ICU patients received  
754 noninvasive ventilation and/or high-flow nasal cannula.  
755 Less severe cases of EVALI may respond well to  
756 noninvasive forms of supplemental oxygen; typical  
757 practice is to administer oxygen via high-flow nasal  
758 cannula in patients requiring oxygen that exceeds a 4 L/  
759 min flow rate and/or for patients with a very high  
760 respiratory rate (> 26 breaths/min), particularly when

761 In this study, 41.4% of ICU patients received  
762 noninvasive ventilation and/or high-flow nasal cannula.  
763 Less severe cases of EVALI may respond well to  
764 noninvasive forms of supplemental oxygen; typical  
765 practice is to administer oxygen via high-flow nasal  
766 cannula in patients requiring oxygen that exceeds a 4 L/  
767 min flow rate and/or for patients with a very high  
768 respiratory rate (> 26 breaths/min), particularly when  
769

771 patients do not have CO<sub>2</sub> retention or obstructive lung  
772 disease.<sup>58</sup> Noninvasive ventilation can also be  
773 considered, and is often selected, if the patient has a  
774 component of cardiogenic pulmonary edema, CO<sub>2</sub>  
775 retention, or airflow obstruction.<sup>59</sup>

## 777 Limitations

779 This analysis was subject to several limitations: (1)  
780 considerable missing data among several clinical  
781 variables, including ICU admission and specific  
782 diagnoses within category of underlying medical  
783 condition, may limit the generalizability of these  
784 findings; (2) EVALI definition is intentionally sensitive  
785 to capture all potential cases, and thus possible  
786 misdiagnosis may occur; and (3) data collection tools  
787 and state-specific data management systems evolved  
788 throughout the outbreak, leading to variations in  
789 variable reporting and completeness between the start  
790 and end period of data collection. At the beginning of  
791 the EVALI response, data were collected in a system  
792 previously used for collecting limited line list data  
793 during multistate foodborne outbreaks, and they were  
794 then transitioned into a larger system and migrated into  
795 a secure online platform. In addition, as public health  
796 knowledge of factors influencing EVALI risk changed  
797 over the course of the outbreak, the case report form  
798 changed as well. As state-level responses evolved, some  
799 states built their own data collection systems around  
800 earlier or later versions of the case report form. Each of  
801 these factors affected reporting, data collection, and  
802 variation in data across states and over the course of the  
803 outbreak.

## 808 Conclusions

809 Since the identification of the primary cause of EVALI,  
810 the number of hospitalized EVALI cases has decreased  
811 considerably in the United States. However, pulmonary  
812 and critical care specialists continue to face challenges  
813 related to patient use of e-cigarette, or vaping, products,  
814 and it is critically important that these specialists and all  
815 clinicians remain prepared to address EVALI and its  
816 potential complications.

## 819 Acknowledgments

820 **Financial/nonfinancial disclosures:** None declared.

## 822 References

823 1. Ghinai I, Pray IW, Navon L, et al. E-cigarette product use, or vaping,  
824 among persons with associated lung injury—Illinois and Wisconsin,  
825 April–September 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68:  
865–869.

2. Perrine CG, Pickens CM, Boehmer TK, et al. Characteristics of a  
826 multistate outbreak of lung injury associated with E-cigarette use, or  
827 vaping—United States, 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.*  
2019;68:860–864. 828
3. Moritz ED, Zapata LB, Lekiaxvili A, et al. Update: characteristics of  
829 patients in a national outbreak of E-cigarette, or vaping, product  
830 use-associated lung injuries—United States, October 2019. *MMWR  
Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68:985–989. 831
4. Chatham-Stephens K, Roguski K, Jang Y, et al. Characteristics of  
832 hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients in a nationwide outbreak  
833 of E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury—  
834 United States, November 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.*  
2019;68:1076–1080. 835
5. Krishnasamy VP, Hollowell BD, Ko JY, et al. Update: characteristics  
836 of a nationwide outbreak of E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-  
837 associated lung injury—United States, August 2019–January 2020.  
838 *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69:90–94. 839
6. Mikosz CA, Danielson M, Anderson KN, et al. Characteristics of  
840 patients experiencing rehospitalization or death after hospital  
841 discharge in a nationwide outbreak of E-cigarette, or vaping, product  
842 use-associated lung injury—United States, 2019. *MMWR Morb  
Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;68:1183–1188. 843
7. Kiernan E, Click ES, Melstrom P, et al. A brief overview of the  
844 national outbreak of E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated  
845 lung injury and the primary causes. *Chest.* 2021;159(1):426–431. 846
8. Blount BC, Karwowski MP, Shields PG, et al. Vitamin E acetate in  
847 bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid associated with EVALI. *N Engl J Med.*  
2020;382:697–705. 848
9. Taylor J, Wiens T, Peterson J, et al. Characteristics of E-cigarette, or  
849 vaping, products used by patients with associated lung injury and  
850 products seized by law enforcement—Minnesota, 2018 and 2019.  
851 *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68:1096–1100. 852
10. US Food and Drug Administration. Lung illnesses associated with  
852 use of vaping products. April 30, 2020 [cited 2020 September 30].  
853 [https://www.fda.gov/news-events/public-health-focus/lung-injuries-  
854 associated-use-vaping-products](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/public-health-focus/lung-injuries-associated-use-vaping-products) 855
11. Siegel DA, Jatlaoui TC, Koumans EH, et al. Update: interim  
856 guidance for health care providers evaluating and caring for patients  
857 with suspected e-cigarette, or vaping, product use associated lung  
858 injury—United States, October 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly  
Rep.* 2019;68:919–927. 859
12. Jatlaoui TC, Wiltz JL, Kabbani S, et al. Update: interim guidance for  
860 health care providers for managing patients with suspected  
861 E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury—United  
862 States, November 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68:  
1081–1086. 863
13. Evans ME, Twentyman E, Click ES, et al. Update: interim guidance  
864 for health care professionals evaluating and caring for patients with  
865 suspected E-cigarette, or vaping, product use-associated lung injury  
866 and for reducing the risk for rehospitalization and death following  
867 hospital discharge—United States, December 2019. *MMWR Morb  
Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;68:1189–1194. 868
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019 Lung Injury  
869 Surveillance Primary Case Definitions. Atlanta, Georgia: Health and  
870 Human Services; 2019. 871
15. Callahan SJ, Harris D, Collingridge DS, et al. Diagnosing EVALI in  
872 the time of COVID-19. *Chest.* 2020;158(5):2034–2037. 873
16. Pérez-Cárceles MD, Pereñíguez JE, Osuna E, Luna A. Balancing  
874 confidentiality and the information provided to families of patients  
875 in primary care. *J Medical Ethics.* 2005;31:531–535. 876
17. Lisseman I. Maintaining confidentiality and information-giving in  
877 intensive care. *Nursing Crit Care.* 2000;5:187–193. 878
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. E-cigarette, or vaping,  
879 products visual dictionary. 2019 [cited 2020 September 1]. [https://  
880 www.cdc.gov/tobacco/basic\\_information/e-cigarettes/pdfs/  
ecigarette-or-vaping-products-visual-dictionary-508.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/basic_information/e-cigarettes/pdfs/ecigarette-or-vaping-products-visual-dictionary-508.pdf)
19. Choi H, Lin Y, Race E, Macmurdo MG. Electronic cigarettes and  
881 alternative methods of vaping. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2021;18:  
191–199. 882



- 881 20. Henry TS, Kanne JP, Kligerman SJ. Imaging of vaping-associated  
882 lung disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1486-1487.
- 883 21. Henry TS, Kligerman SJ, Raptis CA, Mann H, Sechrist JW,  
884 Kanne JP. Imaging findings of vaping-associated lung injury. *AJR*  
885 *Am J Roentgenol.* 2020;214:498-505.
- 886 22. Kligerman SJ, Kay FU, Raptis CA, et al. CT findings and patterns of  
887 e-cigarette or vaping product use-associated lung injury: a  
888 multicenter cohort of 160 cases. *Chest.* 2021;160:1492-1511.
- 889 23. Du Rand IA, Blaikley J, Booton R, et al. British Thoracic Society  
890 guideline for diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy in adults: accredited  
891 by NICE. *Thorax.* 2013;68(suppl 1):i1-i44.
- 892 24. Diaz CD, Carroll BJ, Hemyari A. Pulmonary illness related to  
893 E-cigarette use. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:384-385.
- 894 25. Mukhopadhyay S, Mehrad M, Dammert P, et al. Lung biopsy  
895 findings in severe pulmonary illness associated with E-cigarette use  
896 (vaping). *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2020;153:30-39.
- 897 26. Butt YM, Smith ML, Tazelaar HD, et al. Pathology of vaping-  
898 associated lung injury. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1780-1781.
- 899 27. Dries DJ, Endorf FW. Inhalation injury: epidemiology, pathology,  
900 treatment strategies. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.* 2013;21:31.
- 901 28. Blagev DP, Harris D, Dunn AC, Guidry DW, Grissom CK,  
902 Lanspa MJ. Clinical presentation, treatment, and short-term  
903 outcomes of lung injury associated with e-cigarettes or vaping: a  
904 prospective observational cohort study. *Lancet (London, England).*  
905 2019;394:2073-2083.
- 906 29. Triantafyllou GA, Tiberio PJ, Zou RH, et al. Vaping-associated acute  
907 lung injury: a case series. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2019;200:  
908 1430-1431.
- 909 30. Layden JE, Ghinai I, Pray I, et al. Pulmonary illness related to  
910 E-cigarette use in Illinois and Wisconsin—final report. *N Engl J Med.*  
911 2020;382:903-916.
- 912 31. Maddock SD, Cirulis MM, Callahan SJ, et al. Pulmonary lipid-laden  
913 macrophages and vaping. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381:1488-1489.
- 914 32. Meyer KC, Raghu G, Baughman RP, et al. An official American  
915 Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline: the clinical utility of  
916 bronchoalveolar lavage cellular analysis in interstitial lung disease.  
917 *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012;185:1004-1014.
- 918 33. Pambuccian SE. Testing for lipid-laden macrophages in  
919 bronchoalveolar lavage fluid to diagnose vaping-associated  
920 pulmonary injury. Are we there yet? *J Am Soc Cytopathol.* 2020;9:  
921 1-8.
- 922 34. Davidson K, Brancato A, Heetderks P, et al. Outbreak of electronic-  
923 cigarette-associated acute lipid pneumonia—North Carolina, July-  
924 August 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68:784-786.
- 925 35. Shields PG, Song MA, Freudenheim JL, et al. Lipid laden  
926 macrophages and electronic cigarettes in healthy adults.  
927 *EBioMedicine.* 2020;60:102982.
- 928 36. Kalininskiy A, Bach CT, Nacca NE, et al. E-cigarette, or vaping,  
929 product use associated lung injury (EVALI): case series and  
930 diagnostic approach. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2019;7:1017-1026.
- 931 37. Kass AP, Overbeek DL, Chiel LE, Boyer EW, Casey AMH. Case  
932 series: adolescent victims of the vaping public health crisis with  
933 pulmonary complications. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2020;55:1224-1236.
- 934 38. Hakki M, Strasfeld LM, Townes JM. Predictive value of testing  
935 nasopharyngeal samples for respiratory viruses in the setting of  
936 lower respiratory tract disease. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2014;52:4020-4022.
- 937 39. Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG, et al. Community-acquired  
938 pneumonia requiring hospitalization among U.S. adults. *N Engl J*  
939 *Med.* 2015;373:415-427.
- 940 40. Orłowski HLP, McWilliams S, Mellnick VM, Bhalla S, Lubner MG,  
941 Pickhardt PJ, Menias CO. Imaging spectrum of invasive fungal and  
942 fungal-like infections. *Radiographics.* 2017;37:1119-1134.
- 943 41. Musher DM, Thorner AR. Community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl*  
944 *J Med.* 2014;371:1619-1628.
- 945 42. Aldy K, Cao DJ, Weaver MM, Rao D, Feng SY. E-cigarette or vaping  
946 product use-associated lung injury (EVALI) features and recognition  
947 in the emergency department. *J Am Coll Emerg Physicians Open.*  
948 2020;1:1090-1096.
- 949 43. Rao DR, Maple KL, Dettori A, et al. Clinical features of E-cigarette,  
950 or vaping, product use-associated lung injury in teenagers.  
951 *Pediatrics.* 2020:146.
- 952 44. Chen L, Arens R, Chidambaram AG, et al. Vaping associated  
953 pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteria. *Lung.* 2021;199:21-27.
- 954 45. Aberegg SK, Cirulis MM, Maddock SD, et al. Clinical,  
955 bronchoscopic, and imaging findings of e-cigarette, or vaping,  
956 product use-associated lung injury among patients treated at an  
957 academic medical center. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3:e2019176.
- 958 46. Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, et al. Executive summary:  
959 management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-  
960 associated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the  
961 Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic  
962 Society. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;63:575-582.
- 963 47. Smith ML, Gotway MB, Crotty Alexander LE, Harii LP. Vaping-  
964 related lung injury. *Virchows Arch.* 2021;478:81-88.
- 965 48. Steinberg KP, Hudson LD, Goodman RB, et al. Efficacy and safety of  
966 corticosteroids for persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome.  
967 *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354:1671-1684.
- 968 49. Peter JV, John P, Graham PL, Moran JL, George IA, Bersten A.  
969 Corticosteroids in the prevention and treatment of acute respiratory  
970 distress syndrome (ARDS) in adults: meta-analysis. *BMJ (Clinical*  
971 *Research Ed).* 2008;336:1006-1009.
- 972 50. Villar J, Belda J, Añón JM, et al. Evaluating the efficacy of  
973 dexamethasone in the treatment of patients with persistent acute  
974 respiratory distress syndrome: study protocol for a randomized  
975 controlled trial. *Trials.* 2016;17:342.
- 976 51. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. Dexamethasone in  
977 hospitalized patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384:  
978 693-704.
- 979 52. WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT)  
980 Working Group, Sterne JAC, Murthy S, et al. Association between  
981 administration of systemic corticosteroids and mortality among  
982 critically ill patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.*  
983 2020;324(13):1330-1341.
- 984 53. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory  
985 distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *JAMA.* 2012;307:  
986 2526-2533.
- 987 54. Fan E, Del Sorbo L, Goligher EC, et al. An official American  
988 Thoracic Society/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine/  
989 Society of Critical Care Medicine clinical practice guideline:  
990 mechanical ventilation in adult patients with acute respiratory  
991 distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017;195:1253-1263.
- 992 55. Constantin JM, Grasso S, Chanques G, et al. Lung morphology  
993 predicts response to recruitment maneuver in patients with acute  
994 respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med.* 2010;8:1108-1117.
- 995 56. Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe  
996 acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:  
997 2159-2168.
- 998 57. Moss M, Huang DT, Brower RG, et al. Early neuromuscular  
999 blockade in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.*  
1000 2019;380:1997-2008.
- 1001 58. Combes A, Hajage D, Capellier G, et al. Extracorporeal membrane  
oxygenation for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J*  
*Med.* 2018;378:1965-1975.
- 1002 59. Rochweg B, Brochard L, Elliott MW, et al. Official ERS/ATS clinical  
practice guidelines: noninvasive ventilation for acute respiratory  
failure. *Eur Respir J.* 2017;50.